

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/817,394	04/02/2004		Kathy L. Baker	1120.0100	2936	
34170	7590	04/20/2005		EXAMINER		
GOLD & R	IZVI, P.A	A .	HALE, GLORIA M			
600 N. PINE ISLAND ROAD SUITE 450				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
PLANTATION, FL 33324-1311				3765		

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		`)()					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
Office Action Cummons	10/817,394	BAKER, KATHY L.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	Gloria Hale	3765					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	_				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a zion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for al		tters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applic	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-15 is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa							
10) $igtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/ar	·e: a) accepted or b) obje	ected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection t		• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Branch Street, and some series of the application from the series of the certified copies of the application from the series of the application from the series of the certified copies of the application from the series of the certified copies of the application from the series of the certified copies of the application from the series of the certified copies of the application from the series of the certified copies of the application from the series of the certified copies of the application from the series of the certified copies of the application from the series of the ap	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been	Application No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	SB/08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
			-				

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 5, line 4 "second" should read - - third - - -.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I- Figures 1-7 (claims 1-10) to fastened fabric straps classified in class 2 subclass 338.

Species II - Figures 8 and 9 (claims 11-14) to straps that are sewn to bra shoulder straps classified in class 450 Subclass 8; and

Art Unit: 3765

Species III- figure 10 (claim 15) to a rigid clip classified in class 24.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3765

During a telephone conversation with Glen Gold on 4-7-05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Figures 1-7, claims 1-10.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 11-15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griefer (US 4,612,935) in view of Reiber (US 6,081,925).

Greifer discloses a clothing strap 70 (as seen in figures 6 and 7) and garment portion tensioning device in a strap form with the middle, right and left end portions, upper, lower, left and right edges; and releasable, adjustable hook and loop connection means (78,80) at the left and right ends to attach the ends together about the clothing straps. However, Greifer does not disclose the strap as being elastic. Reiber discloses a clothing portion strap holder device 10 that is elastic 12 with fastener at the ends(22a,22b) to attach and form a loop. Accordingly it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the strap of Greifer construct the strap Of Greifer of elastic material as disclosed by Reiber so provide increased adjustability and

Application/Control Number: 10/817,394

Art Unit: 3765

comfort to the wearer since it would stretch and move the with wearer and not pull on the shoulder straps or garment portion. (See Greifer, figures 1, 6 and 7, col. 4, lines 5-24; col. 3, line 42 and Reiber, figures 2A,2b and col. Col. 3, line 40).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greifer in view of Reiber as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Cuttler (US 2,884,647).

Greifer and Reiber disclose the invention substantially as claimed. However. They do not disclose the end fasteners as being snaps. Cuttler discloses strap with end fasteners including snaps. (16,17- see Cuttler figures 1 and 2; col. 2, lines 6-17). Accordingly it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any known fastener components as desired to connect the ends of the strap which provide adjustability including snaps as disclosed by Cuttler in order to provide a secure and adjustable attachment. Snaps are well known adjustment attachment or fastener devices. Substituting the hook and loop fasteners with adjustable snap connectors as those disclosed by Cuttler would have been an obvious modification to one of ordinary skill in the art Since substituting known fastener devices for other known fastener devices is well known if garment manufacturing.

Claims 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the cited references alone or in combination disclose the specific strap configurations with the curved portions as claimed in claims 5-10 in order to prevent

Art Unit: 3765

should strap twisting since the strap device curved portions do not contact and twist the shoulder straps on the brassiere as disclosed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria Hale whose telephone number is 571-272-4984. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues.-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 571-272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gloria Hale Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765
